

**COLD NORTON PARISH COUNCIL**  
**THE MINUTES OF AN EXTRAORDINARY MEETING OF THE PARISH COUNCIL**  
**HELD ON WEDNESDAY 10<sup>TH</sup> AUGUST 2005**

**THOSE PRESENT:**                   **Councillors:**  
Mrs. A. Allen  
Prof. L. Barclay  
Mrs. S. Garnham (Chairman)  
Mrs. G. Gold  
Mr. C. Litscher

**APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE:**   Mr. Archer, Mr. Wakeling and Mrs Dyer (clerk)  
  
(In the clerk's absence the minutes were taken by Mrs. Garnham)

**PLANNING APPLICATIONS** received by the Parish council – to consider responses:

**191.    FUL/MAL/05/00828 Laurel House, 4 The Fairways.** Conservatory to rear. Parish Council's response:  
  
"Cold Norton Parish Council considers that the proposed development contained in the above application would not be harmful, and recommends that Maldon District Council should approve the application"

**192.    FUL/MAL/05/00835 Little Canneys, Stow Road.** Use of land as part of garden. Renovation of redundant farm buildings for domestic use with ancillary planting, hard surfaces and fencing. Parish Council's response:  
  
"Cold Norton Parish Council recommends that consent for the above application should be REFUSED, for the following reasons:

1. The proposed development is outside the development boundary and in a prominent position.
2. We believe that the renovated buildings should retain the existing shape and style of the originals.
3. We believe that the proposed road entrance onto Hagg Hill would be dangerous, as it is not clear that the 'one way' system that operates on Hagg Hill would be observed.
4. We object to the use of agricultural land for a garden
5. We would suggest that a planning officer should visit the site as we have been advised that a significant amount of building work has already been done. "

**193.    FUL/MAL/05/00842 1 Newport Avenue.** Replacement dwelling. Parish Council's response:  
  
"Cold Norton Parish Council recommends that consent for the above application should be REFUSED for the following reasons:

1. The proposed building would be a significantly increased mass over the existing property.
2. The proposed building would be significantly taller than the existing property.

If approval is granted, we would request that the planning officers should approve the colour and outside appearance of the property.

We would also request that the existing property be demolished within a reasonable period."

**194.    ANY OTHER BUSINESS** (No decisions may lawfully be made under this agenda item – however, matters may be discussed which involve exchanges of information only.)

A previous planning application involving 'Sherae' in Latchingdon Road was discussed.

Clerk to be requested to find out from MDC what is happening at Sherae (next to country Produce) – the original house was supposed to be demolished 6 months after completion of the new house – and it still hasn't been.

**ACTION CLERK**

**Chairman**.....

**Date**.....